New Approaches to Neuroprotection in Infant Heart Surgery
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ABSTRACT: Advances in surgical techniques and perioperative management have led to dramatic improvements in outcomes for children with complex congenital heart disease (CHD). As the number of survivors continues to grow, clinicians are becoming increasingly aware that adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes after surgical repair of CHD represent a significant cause of morbidity, with widespread neuropsychologic deficits in as many as 50% of these children by the time they reach school age. Modifications of intraoperative management have yet to measurably impact long-term neurologic outcomes. However, exciting advances in our understanding of the underlying mechanisms of cellular injury and of the events that mediate endogenous cellular protection have provided a variety of new potential targets for the assessment, prevention, and treatment of neurologic injury in patients with CHD. In this review, we will discuss the unique challenges to developing neuroprotective strategies in children with CHD and consider how multisystem approaches to neuroprotection, such as ischemic preconditioning, will be the focus of ongoing efforts to develop new diagnostic tools and therapies. Although significant challenges remain, tremendous opportunity exists for the development of diagnostic and therapeutic interventions, which can serve to limit neurologic injury and ultimately improve outcomes for infants and children with CHD. (Pediatr Res 68: 1–2, 2010)

Advances in surgical techniques and perioperative management have led to dramatic improvements in survival outcomes for children with complex congenital heart disease (CHD). Indeed, children born with lesions that were considered universally fatal as recently as 30 y ago are now surviving into adolescence and adulthood (1). With a growing population of survivors, clinicians are becoming increasingly aware that patients who have undergone life-saving open-heart surgery as infants and young children are facing significant neurocognitive challenges as they develop and mature. Widespread neurodevelopmental deficits can be identified in as many as 50% of these children by the time they reach school age (2). These delays manifest in attentional and academic problems, which ultimately result in significant social and economic challenges to patients and families.

The mechanisms contributing to CNS injury in patients with CHD are complex and multifactorial, occurring throughout prenatal and perinatal life. Insults to the developing brain may occur in utero (as a result of coincedent structural brain malformation and/or disordered fetal circulation), in the immediate postnatal period while awaiting surgical intervention (related to cyanosis, perturbed cerebrovascular autoregulation, or hypoxic-ischemic injury because of ongoing hemodynamic instability), intraoperatively (as a consequence of cardiopulmonary bypass, hypothermia, hemodilution, or cerebral embolic events), and during the postoperative period (because of low cardiac output syndrome, seizures, systemic infection, or other complications) (3–5). Long-term neurologic functioning in these children is also impacted by the presence of underlying genetic syndromes, the influence of social and environmental factors, and the cardiac lesion itself, which necessarily dictates the timing and nature of surgical intervention. There is a growing body of literature, which suggests that patients requiring surgery in the first few weeks of life have a significantly higher incidence of academic difficulties and behavior abnormalities as they reach school-age and that those requiring several stages of palliative repair in early childhood may be particularly vulnerable to brain injury and subsequent disability (Figs. 1 and 2) (4,6–11).

Historical approaches to neuroprotection in this population have focused on the most obviously modifiable factors, particularly intraoperative management strategies. However, despite increasing attention to this problem, no consistent improvement in long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes has been achieved in nearly two decades (12). Indeed, it has become clear that alternative approaches to this problem are needed.1 New insights into pre- and postoperative risk factors that contribute to adverse neurologic outcomes in children with CHD, along with advances in the understanding of the underlying mechanisms that direct cellular injury and protection in a variety of similar populations, can now serve as a basis on which to formulate new strategies for the diagnosis,
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cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) in the 1950s, surgical outcomes after complex cardiac surgery improved dramatically (13,14). However, early experience using these techniques in children suggested an association with both early and late neurologic complications (15–21). Subsequent efforts to minimize the risk of ischemic brain injury during cardiac surgery have focused on the development of perioperative interventions, which aim to optimize cerebral blood flow, minimize cerebral oxygen demand, and prevent neuronal cell damage. Examples of early neuroprotective strategies include the use of continuous low-flow CPB as an alternative to DHCA, the implementation of “temperature-corrected” (pH-stat) blood gas management strategies during patient cooling, and the development of various protocols for hemodilution, hypothermia, and rewarming surrounding CPB (18,22–24). Although many of these interventions showed promising results in short-term follow-up, no single strategy has been shown to consistently and meaningfully improve long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes in patients undergoing early repair for CHD (12,25).

Pharmacologic interventions. Pharmacologic approaches to neuroprotection have also historically identified the immediate perioperative period as an optimal point of intervention. Agents such as volatile anesthetics, methylprednisolone, aprotinin, and allopurinol, among others, have been used to attenuate ischemic injury in infants undergoing cardiac surgery, based largely on experimental data that their effects may be neuroprotective (26–28). However, when applied clinically, none has been proven to reduce the risk of neurologic injury surrounding infant heart surgery. The perioperative administration of allopurinol (an inhibitor of free radical production) was not shown to significantly reduce the risk of death or neurologic injury surrounding surgical intervention (29), and the use of aprotinin (an antifibrinolytic) was completely halted after studies in adults showed an increased risk of renal failure and end-organ damage after CPB (30), although these results have not been duplicated in pediatric studies. Other commonly used agents—such as isoflurane, midazolam, and methylpred-
nisone—have yet to be studied in a systematic manner in patients with CHD, and many questions remain regarding their safety and long-term effects on neurodevelopmental outcomes (31,32).

**Unique Challenges to Neuroprotection in Infant Heart Surgery**

**Practical limitations.** Many factors contribute to slow progress in the development of effective interventions to improve neurocognitive outcomes in patients with CHD. One is that complex CHD, although a relatively common cause of childhood morbidity, remains a rare disease, affecting only 6 to 8 per 1000 live births (33). This limits our ability to design and successfully enroll patients in high-powered randomized trials, except in the largest institutions. Even multicenter trials are confounded by subtle differences in surgical technique and perioperative care. Furthermore, individual congenital heart defects demonstrate considerable variation in the unique physiologic and hemodynamic alterations, which contribute to inherent neurologic risk, making results of even well-designed studies difficult to generalize across the spectrum of CHD.

Progress is further complicated by the fact that although gross neurologic impairments may be easily recognized in the immediate postoperative period, the full extent of adverse neuropsychological sequelae—including academic difficulties, behavior abnormalities, motor delays, executive planning, and inattention/hyperactivity (4,16,34–39)—often do not become apparent until several years later when these children reach school age. This limits the timely assessment of any particular intervention.

Finally, although many of the neuroprotective strategies in current practice have been extrapolated from experimental or adult studies of cardiac surgery and CPB, clinical experience suggests that these models may not be adequate to reproduce the unique circumstances that contribute to neurologic injury in infants with CHD. Certainly, surrogate models have and will continue to provide great insight into the mechanisms that mediate neurologic injury during CPB. However, it is becoming increasingly clear that new approaches to neuroprotection will require a more precise understanding of patient-specific variables and the underlying mechanisms that contribute to neurologic injury in children with CHD—before, during, and after surgery.

**Neurologic vulnerability in infants with CHD.** There now exists a growing body of evidence to suggest that many children with complex CHD suffer from abnormal or delayed brain development, potentially making them more vulnerable to neurologic insult suffered throughout the perinatal period (3,40,41). Recent neuroimaging studies conducted in newborns with CHD (before surgical intervention) demonstrate abnormal findings similar to those seen in premature infants and those who have suffered hypoxic-ischemic injury (3,12,40,41). Periventricular leukomalacia (PVL), the most common neuropathologic lesion identified in preterm neonates, is thought to result from enhanced vulnerability of premyelinating oligodendrocyte precursor cells to hypoxic-ischemic injury (42,43). Damage to immature oligodendroglia associated with PVL impairs their ability to perform developmentally required functions in CNS patterning and neurotransmission, almost certainly contributing to long-term maladaptive consequences, which translate into the wide range of neurodevelopmental deficits that have been described both in survivors of premature birth and in those requiring early surgical intervention for CHD (12,43). Recent studies report evidence of PVL on immediate postnatal imaging in up to 20% of full-term infants with CHD—increasing to more than 50% after surgery (3,40)—suggesting a similarly increased vulnerability to white matter injury and raising questions of delayed brain maturation in these babies (42).

In addition to an underlying vulnerability to global hypoxic-ischemic insult, infants and children with CHD are at risk for focal neurologic injury from thromboembolism or air embolism, which can be related to the cardiac lesion itself or to the procedures required for repair or palliation. The incidence of perioperative stroke in pediatric patients undergoing open heart surgery has not yet been well defined. Risk factors such as type of lesion, age at surgery, perioperative hematocrit, and duration of CPB have been suggested, although none of these has been consistently shown to increase the risk of embolic events surrounding pediatric cardiac surgery (40,44–47). One recent study of neonates and infants undergoing surgical repair for CHD demonstrated a 10% prevalence of stroke, with as many as half of those events occurring preoperatively. This study also reported that the majority of lesions were identified only on early postoperative MRI with no clinical evidence of neurologic deficit, suggesting that focal neurologic insults are likely underdiagnosed in the infant population (45).

Furthermore, although it is generally accepted that the immature brain is more susceptible to neurologic injury caused by inflammation, oxidative stress, microemboli, and hemodynamic perturbations of cerebral blood flow associated with CPB (48–52), recent evidence suggests that additional mechanisms of neurologic injury exist, which may be unique to children with CHD. Subtle hemorrhagic events, as demonstrated by the presence of hemosiderin deposits on MRI, have recently been observed in a cohort of infants undergoing 2-ventricle repair, and these lesions were linked to lower Psychomotor Developmental Index scores at the age of 1 y. Importantly, such hemosiderin foci, or “microbleeds,” were also associated with older age at surgery and were seen even in the absence of radiologic evidence of ischemic injury, suggesting a different pathogenesis for CNS damage than has previously been described (53).

**Precarious physiology.** Infants and children undergoing cardiac surgery remain vulnerable to neurologic injury throughout the postoperative period—and perhaps indefinitely. Low cardiac output syndrome (LCOS) refers to the predictable fall in cardiac output, which occurs 6–18 h after cardiac surgery (54,55). Because of limited myocardial reserve, LCOS is common in pediatric patients, affecting up to 25% of neonates and young children undergoing surgical intervention for CHD (54,55). Residual cardiac lesions, particularly in defects requiring staged palliation with a parallel circulation (such as hypoplastic left heart syndrome [HLHS]; Figs. 1 and 2), may further increase the risk of morbidity and
mortality associated with LCOS (55–58). Hemodynamic instability associated with LCOS in the postoperative period leads to global hypoperfusion and can be associated with neurologic and other end-organ injury (55). Pharmacologic interventions to prevent LCOS have been associated with improved outcomes after surgery for CHD (55,58). Although these agents might be considered an indirect approach to neuroprotection, their specific effects on long-term neurodevelopment have not been formally studied.

Finally, it must be remembered that many patients with CHD remain at risk for ongoing neurologic complications, well beyond the immediate perioperative period. Unfavorable hemodynamics, residual right-to-left intracardiac shunts, hypercoagulability, and arrhythmias can all contribute to increased risk for thromboembolic events and subsequent CNS injury, with certain lesions known to be at significant risk over a lifetime (59,60). In addition, chronic cyanosis associated with many palliated lesions is most likely associated with poor long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes. In light of these complex issues, perhaps new strategies for neuroprotection should consider a multisystem approach.

Ischemic Preconditioning: A Lesson in Neuroprotection

Multisystem applications of ischemic preconditioning. An elegant illustration of the kind of multidisciplinary approach needed to support ongoing advances in neuroprotection surrounding pediatric cardiac surgery is that of ischemic preconditioning (IPC). IPC refers to a phenomenon in which prior exposure to a series of sublethal insults results in the up-regulation of endogenous defense mechanisms, which then provide robust protection from subsequent lethal insults (61). IPC was first described in the mid-1980s in a model of myocardial infarction (62), and it has since been observed in extracardiac tissues including the brain, liver, and kidney. Subsequent investigations into the mechanisms that mediate the protection conferred by IPC in the heart and the brain have led to important advances in our understanding of numerous pathways, which mediate cell fate in response to acute stress.

Signaling pathways in IPC: the heart and the brain are not the same. Both neurons and cardiomyocytes are highly metabolically active and have tremendously limited proliferative mechanisms. Therefore, in the context of providing neuroprotection during cardiac surgery, identification of the activator and effector molecules that are dually activated in the CNS and the heart is essential to provide therapies, which target both the systems in a protective way. However, although neurons and cardiomyocytes share many characteristics, important differences in metabolic and cellular signaling pathways exist, which are crucial to understanding the mechanisms by which each organ can elicit endogenous protection.

One of the most dramatic differences between cardiac and neuronal preconditioning is the lack of an early protective window for CNS models of ischemia. Unlike cardiomyocytes, which, on brief exposure to hypoxia and ischemia, induce a near immediate but short-lived protective period followed by a second protective period observed after hours and lasting for days, the CNS is only capable of inducing the late form of IPC (63–65).

The early cardiac IPC event has been associated with activation of the opioid and bradykinin receptor pathways (via PI3-kinase and ERK/Akt signaling), stimulation of the G-protein coupled adenosine (A1) receptor, and the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the mitochondria. Under the appropriate stimulus, all of these converge to activate protein kinase C (PKC), which acts as a final common pathway in the “trigger” phase of IPC (66). On reperfusion, PKC is primed to initiate a second round of activation of PI3-kinase/Akt and MEK/ERK cell survival pathways to confer the protective effects of IPC (Fig. 3) (66,67).

The neural kinase pathways associated with CNS preconditioning protection are far less well appreciated. The convergence of stress signaling at the level of the mitochondria seems to be essential, and hallmark features of neuronal preconditioning include requirement for new protein synthesis, activation of heat shock proteins (HSPs), and opening of ATP-dependent potassium channels (67,68). The redox sensitive pathways activated by preconditioning ischemia and reperfusion likely alter metabolic tone at the time of secondary stress, but the activation of this form of protection is much
slower than cardiac preconditioning and can take hours to days to reveal itself.

Although activation of kinase pathways is necessary for IPC in both the heart and the brain, it is not sufficient for induction of protection. The protection observed in cardiac and neural cells hours after nonlethal priming stress requires new protein transcription, including up-regulation of HSPs and hypoxia inducible factor (HIF), among others (63). HSPs are cellular chaperones that act intracellularly to direct protein degradation or refolding in response to cell stress (61). Up-regulation of these molecules in response to a preconditioning stress is required for protein salvage and enhanced cell survival in the face of subsequent otherwise lethal insults (69). HIF is a transcription factor that regulates the induction of hundreds of genes in response to cellular hypoxia, including those regulating endothelial NO production, erythropoietin synthesis, expression of multiple glucose transporters and glycolytic enzymes, and angiogenesis. HIF is known to be an essential mediator of preconditioning-induced cellular protection, presumably via stimulation of anaerobic glycolysis and preservation of mitochondrial function (65) (Fig. 3). The complexity of these adaptations is enormous, as each activated protein has a unique set of effector molecules, which regulate gene transcription, cell membrane channel properties, and metabolic function, the full effects of which we are only beginning to appreciate.

From laboratory to clinic: therapeutic implications of IPC. Continued advances in our understanding of the pathways that mediate IPC now offer a number of possible therapeutic targets, which allow us to capitalize on the cell’s endogenous protective mechanisms to limit injury from acute ischemic insult. A promising example of the therapeutic potential of IPC is the discovery of remote ischemic preconditioning (RIPC), a phenomenon whereby the application of a preconditioning stimulus at a remote site, such as the inflation of a blood pressure cuff around an arm or leg, confers IPC-like protection at a distant target (such as the heart and/or brain). First described in the mid-1990s in a model of myocardial infarction (70,71), the protective effects of RIPC have been observed in various other tissues, including the brain.

The exact mechanisms through which RIPC exerts its distant effects remain unknown, but ongoing preclinical investigations suggest that multiple pathways may be involved. One proposed model of RIPC is that of a humoral pathway in which substances released at the site of a remote preconditioning stimulus circulate to their target organs to initiate cell-protective pathways. Convincing evidence in support of a humoral pathway was provided by an experimental model of heart transplantation in which RIPC applied to the recipient of a transplanted heart led to reduced myocardial infarct size in the donor heart (72). Another proposed mechanism is that of a neural pathway in which an endogenous substance released at the remote site of preconditioning activates afferent nerve fibers, which in turn stimulate IPC signaling pathways in the target organ. This model is supported by studies in which ganglion blockade or nerve dissection in the remote limb leads to complete block of IPC effect in the target organ, suggesting that intact neural pathways are required for RIPC-induced protection (73). An alternate, or perhaps complimentary, possibility is that of a systemic pathway in which RIPC induces organism-wide changes in gene expression, metabolism, and signaling, which serve to augment the local protective environment. In support of this, microarray analyses of preconditioned tissue have demonstrated up-regulation of multiple anti-inflammatory and antiapoptotic pathways after RIPC (74–76).

Although there is still much to be learned about the precise mechanisms that underlie the protection conferred by RIPC, several clinical applications of RIPC are currently being tested. These include therapeutic remote pre- and postconditioning after acute myocardial infarction, stroke, and even surrounding cardiac surgery. One randomized controlled study has shown evidence of myocardial protection (decreased troponin and decreased need for postoperative inotropic support) in children undergoing repair of CHD (77). Although there have been no studies examining the effects of RIPC on neurologic injury surrounding cardiac surgery, it certainly has exciting potential to be an inexpensive, well-tolerated neuroprotective therapy for patients undergoing CPB.

The Future of Neuroprotection in Infant Heart Surgery

Despite limited progress in the improvement of neurodevelopmental outcomes for children undergoing repair of CHD over the last 20 y, remarkable advances in molecular science and technology have provided us with a better understanding of the mechanisms that underlie neuropathology in a variety of models, thus offering new and innovative approaches to the diagnosis and prevention of neurologic injury in patients with CHD (Table 1).

Preoperative considerations. Early and accurate prenatal diagnosis of complex CHD by fetal echocardiography now allows for planned delivery in an advanced nursery setting where prompt initiation of medical therapy can minimize the risk for early hemodynamic compromise, potentially contributing to improved neurologic outcomes in some groups (78,79). In select cases, prenatal diagnosis also offers the opportunity for highly specialized fetal cardiac intervention, which—by optimizing fetal hemodynamics—has the potential to not only improve cardiovascular outcomes but also to restore physiologic cerebral circulation, theoretically promoting normal CNS development. Although one recent study showed no significant change in cerebral blood flow after fetal intervention for aortic stenosis (80), continued modification and ongoing study of these relatively new techniques will be required to assess any impact on long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes.

Other antenatal therapies that may be of benefit in cases of prenatally diagnosed CHD include those currently being developed to prevent PVL and associated long-term brain injury in premature infants, such as maternal administration of free radical scavengers such as vitamin E and anti-inflammatory and anticytokine agents (43). Although future study is needed, continued advances in the understanding of the common pathways that participate in the development of PVL, such as ischemia-reperfusion and free-radical injury, can propel the
development of neuroprotection strategies, which may be effective in both of these vulnerable populations.

Finally, improved understanding of the genetic and molecular basis of CHD over recent years has led to the identification of multiple genes that participate in the development of a variety of congenital heart lesions, and the genetic heritability of some forms of CHD has now been well described (81). Similarly, genetic influences on abnormal neurologic development in patients with CHD are also being explored. Gaynor et al. (82,83) have described polymorphisms in the Apo-E allele, which correlate with poor neurologic outcome. As knowledge in this area continues to grow, genetic factors will become extremely important as predictors of neurologic risk, and a more patient-specific approach to risk-stratification and intervention will be required.

**Intraoperative considerations.** Intraoperative management strategies remain important modifiable factors, which will continue to evolve in attempts to improve neurodevelopmental outcomes. Emerging modifications in surgical technologies, including the development of hybrid strategies in the repair of HLHS and the use of regional low-flow cerebral perfusion (RLFP) (84), and more advanced monitoring of cerebral oxygen saturation using near-infrared-spectroscopy (NIRS), are currently being studied; however, long-term outcomes have not yet been determined (31).

In addition, modified perfusion strategies such as the use of coated CPB circuitry and leukocyte filtration strategies (85), modified ultrafiltration (86), miniaturized circuits using reduced or asanguinous blood prime (87–89), and newer normothermic and normoxic perfusion protocols (90,91) are currently in varying stages of development and testing, with long-term results still pending. Although unique pediatric populations, but there are limited published data in neonatal settings. Isoprostanes (IsoPs), prostaglandin-like molecules formed in vivo via the free radical-mediated oxidation of arachidonic acid, have been demonstrated to be formed in increased amounts in the brains of patients with various neurodegenerative diseases (95,96). Widely considered the “gold standard” for quantification of oxidative stress, F2-IsoP formation is currently being studied in a variety of patient populations, but there are limited published data in neonatal models of hypoxia or in children with CHD. Similar free radical-mediated oxidation of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA)—the predominant fatty acid found in neurons of normal human brain—leads to the formation of neuroprostanes (NPs), which may serve as a more sensitive biomarker of oxidative injury specific to the brain (97,98). Studies of IsoP and NP formation

---

**Table 1. Multidisciplinary approaches to diagnosis, monitoring, and prevention of neurologic injury throughout the perioperative period**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Intraoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tools for diagnosis/monitoring</td>
<td>Imaging (ultrasound, MRI) EEG, ERP Genetic analysis, risk stratification Biomarkers</td>
<td>Imaging (ultrasound, MRI) NIRS Biomarkers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies for neuroprotection</td>
<td>Fetal intervention for CHD (improved cerebral blood flow) Prenatal therapy for PVL</td>
<td>Modified perfusion techniques (RLFP, new circuits, etc.) Modified cooling/rewarming protocols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preconditioning therapies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERP, evoked response potential.
surrounding pediatric cardiac surgery are underway and may provide insights into the timing and extent of oxidative injury during and after surgical intervention for CHD.

Overcoming obstacles: a combined effort. There remain a number of clinical and practical issues that contribute to the slow progress toward achieving measurable improvements in neurodevelopmental outcomes in patients with CHD. Faced with small sample sizes, time constraints, resource limitations, and the very important logistical and ethical considerations of conducting research in this vulnerable population, individual institutions are often unable to conduct the kind of high-impact studies needed to answer important questions regarding the safety and efficacy of new and emerging neuroprotective strategies. However, the recent and ongoing development of several multi-institutional collaborations, such as the Pediatric Heart Network (PHN) and the Joint Council on Congenital Heart Disease (JCCHD), provide promise for future improvements in the safety and efficacy of new and emerging neuroprotective strategies. Although challenges remain, it is clear that through continued study and collaboration, there exists tremendous opportunity for the development of diagnostic and therapeutic interventions, which can serve to limit neurologic sequelae and ultimately improve outcomes for patients with CHD.

CONCLUSIONS

Adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes after early surgical repair of CHD represent a significant cause of morbidity in a growing number of survivors. Historical approaches toward modification of intraoperative management strategies have not been shown to impact long-term outcomes. Although children with CHD remain a specialized population with a unique set of risk factors, investigations in other models of neurologic injury have led to dramatic advances in our understanding of the intricate processes, which direct cellular injury and adaptation in response to stress, providing a variety of new potential targets for the prevention and treatment of neurologic injury. As our understanding of the genetic and molecular basis of CHD expands, patient-specific variables will become central to the formulation of unique strategies for risk stratification and management. Although challenges remain, it is clear that through continued study and collaboration, there exists tremendous opportunity for the development of diagnostic and therapeutic interventions, which can serve to limit neurologic sequelae and ultimately improve outcomes for patients with CHD.
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